arXiv:1409.6901v4 [math.DG] 15 Dec 2015

Embedded minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^n$

Antonio Alarcón, Franc Forstnerič, and Francisco J. López

Abstract In this paper, we prove that every conformal minimal immersion of an open Riemann surface into $\mathbb{R}^n$ for $n \geq 5$ can be approximated uniformly on compacts by conformal minimal embeddings (see Theorem 1.1). Furthermore, we show that every open Riemann surface carries a proper conformal minimal embedding into $\mathbb{R}^5$ (see Theorem 1.2). One of our main tools is a Mergelyan approximation theorem for conformal minimal immersions to $\mathbb{R}^n$ for any $n \geq 3$ which is also proved in the paper (see Theorem 5.3).

Keywords Riemann surfaces, minimal surfaces, conformal minimal embeddings.

MSC (2010): 53A10; 32B15, 32E30, 32H02.

1. Introduction

One of the central questions in Geometric Analysis is to understand whether an abstract manifold of a certain kind is embeddable as a submanifold of a Euclidean space. Notable results were obtained, among many others, by Whitney [35] in differential geometry, Nash [30] in Riemannian geometry, Greene and Wu [21] in harmonic mapping theory, and Remmert [32], Bishop [9], Narasimhan [28, 29], Eliashberg and Gromov [13] and Schürmann [34] in complex geometry.

The same question is highly interesting in the context of *minimal submanifolds*, a fundamental subject in differential geometry. Two dimensional minimal submanifolds (i.e., *minimal surfaces*) in $\mathbb{R}^n$ are especially interesting objects. They lie at the intersection of several branches of Mathematics and Physics and enjoy powerful tools coming from differential geometry, topology, partial differential equations, and complex analysis; see [31] for a classical survey and [26, 27] for more recent ones, among others.

The aim of this paper is to obtain general embedding results for minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^n$ for $n \geq 5$. Our first main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be an open Riemann surface. If $n \geq 5$ then every conformal minimal immersion $u\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ can be approximated uniformly on compacts in M by conformal minimal embeddings. The same holds if M is a compact bordered Riemann surface with nonempty boundary and u is of class $\mathscr{C}^r(M)$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$; in such case, the approximation takes place in the $\mathscr{C}^r(M)$ topology.*

Recall that a conformal immersion $u\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 3$) of an open Riemann surface M is minimal if and only if it is harmonic: $\Delta u = 0$. An immersion $u\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be an *embedding* if $u\colon M \to u(M)$ is a homeomorphism.

More precisely, our proof will show that, for any $n \geq 5$, the set of all conformal minimal embeddings $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is of the second category in the Fréchet space of all conformal minimal immersions $M \to \mathbb{R}^n$, endowed with the compact-open topology.

Theorem 1.1 obviously fails in dimensions $n \leq 4$ since transverse self-intersections are stable in these dimensions. By using the tools of this paper, it can be seen that a generic conformal minimal immersion $M \to \mathbb{R}^4$ has only simple double points (normal crossings).

We now come to the following second main result of the paper.

Theorem 1.2. *Every open Riemann surface M carries a proper conformal minimal embedding into $\mathbb{R}^5$. Furthermore, if K is a compact holomorphically convex set in M and $n \geq 5$, then every conformal minimal embedding from a neighborhood of K into $\mathbb{R}^n$ can be approximated, uniformly on K, by proper conformal minimal embeddings $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.*

Our methods also provide the control of the *flux* of the conformal minimal embeddings that we construct. Recall that the flux of a conformal minimal immersion $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) \colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is the homomorphism $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ given by the imaginary periods of the holomorphic $(1,0)$-form $\partial u = (\partial u_1, \ldots, \partial u_n)$ (see (2.5)). In particular, the approximation in Theorem 1.1 can be done by embeddings with the same flux as the original immersion, whereas the proper conformal minimal embeddings in the first assertion of Theorem 1.2 can be found with any given flux; see Theorems 6.1 and 7.1.

One of our main tools is a Mergelyan approximation theorem for conformal minimal immersions to $\mathbb{R}^n$ for any $n \geq 3$ that is also proved in the paper (see Theorem 5.3), extending the result of Alarcón and López [5] which applies to $n = 3$. The proof in [5] uses the Weierstrass representation of conformal minimal immersions $M \to \mathbb{R}^3$, and hence it does not generalize to the case $n > 3$.

Let us place Theorem 1.2 in the context of results in the literature. It has been known since the 1950's that every open Riemann surface embeds properly holomorphically in $\mathbb{C}^3$ [9, 28, 29, 32]. Since a holomorphic embedding is also conformal and harmonic, it follows that every open Riemann surface carries a proper conformal minimal embedding into $\mathbb{R}^6$. In a different direction, Greene and Wu showed in 1975 [21] that every open k-dimensional Riemannian manifold M^k admits a proper (not necessarily conformal) harmonic embedding into $\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}$; hence surfaces $(k = 2)$ embed properly harmonically into $\mathbb{R}^5$. However, the image of a non-conformal harmonic map is not necessarily a minimal surface, hence Theorem 1.2 is a refinement of their result when M is an *orientable* surface.

The optimal result for immersions was obtained by Alarcón and López who proved that every open Riemann surface carries a proper conformal minimal immersion into $\mathbb{R}^3$ [5, 6].

It is well known that Theorem 1.2 fails in dimension $n = 3$. Indeed, the existence of a proper conformal minimal embedding $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is a very restrictive condition on M and there is a rich literature on this subject; see the recent surveys [26, 27] and the references therein. Note however that every Riemann surface (open or closed) admits a smooth proper conformal (but not necessarily minimal!) embedding into $\mathbb{R}^3$ according to Rüedy [33]; see also Garsia [20] for a partial result in this direction.

It remains an open problem whether Theorem 1.2 holds in dimension $n = 4$:

Problem 1.3. Does every open Riemann surface admit a proper conformal minimal embedding into $\mathbb{R}^4$?

Motivated by the result of Greene and Wu [21], another interesting but less ambitious open question is whether every open (orientable) Riemannian surface admits a harmonic embedding into $\mathbb{R}^4$. These problems seem nontrivial also for nonproper embeddings.

Since every holomorphic embedding is conformal and harmonic (hence minimal), Problem 1.3 is related to the analogous long standing open problem whether every open Riemann surface admits a proper holomorphic embedding into $\mathbb{C}^2$. (See the survey of Bell and Narasimhan [8, Conjecture 3.7, p. 20], and [15, Sections 8.9–8.10].) For recent progress on this problem, we refer to the articles of Forstnerič and Wold [18, 19] and the references therein. In particular, the following result is proved in [18]: *Let M be a compact bordered Riemann surface with nonempty boundary bM. If M admits a (nonproper) holomorphic embedding into $\mathbb{C}^2$, then its interior $\mathring{M} = M \setminus bM$ admits a proper holomorphic embedding into $\mathbb{C}^2$.* The same problem makes sense for conformal minimal embeddings and it naturally appears as a first approach to Problem 1.3:

Problem 1.4. Let M be a compact bordered Riemann surface with nonempty boundary bM. Assume that M admits a conformal minimal embedding $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ of class $\mathscr{C}^1(M)$. Does the interior $\mathring{M}$ admit a proper conformal minimal embedding into $\mathbb{R}^4$?

It was proved in [19] that every circular domain in the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{CP}^1$ (possibly infinitely connected) admits a proper holomorphic embedding into $\mathbb{C}^2$, hence a proper conformal minimal embedding into $\mathbb{R}^4$.

The constructions in [18, 19] use the theory of holomorphic automorphisms of complex Euclidean spaces. After exposing and sending to infinity a point in each boundary component of M, a proper holomorphic embedding $\mathring{M} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is obtained by successively pushing the image of the boundary bM to infinity by holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^2$. Such approach does not seem viable for conformal minimal embeddings since this class of maps is only preserved under rigid transformations of $\mathbb{R}^n$. It is therefore a challenging problem to find suitable methods that could work for conformal minimal embeddings.

On the other hand, there are no topological obstructions to these questions since Alarcón and López [7] proved that every open orientable surface admits a smooth proper embedding in $\mathbb{C}^2$ whose image is a complex curve. For bordered orientable surfaces of finite topology this was shown earlier by Černe and Forstnerič [10].

The results in this paper, as well as the methods used in their proofs, are influenced by the recent work [3] of the first two named authors who proved results analogous to Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for a certain class of *directed holomorphic immersions* (including null curves) of Riemann surfaces into $\mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 3$. A *null curve* $M \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is a holomorphic immersion whose derivative lies in the punctured null quadric $\mathfrak{A}^* = \mathfrak{A} \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$; see (2.2). The real part of a null curve is a conformal minimal immersion $M \to \mathbb{R}^n$. (Obviously, the real part of an embedded null curve is not necessarily embedded.) These techniques exploit the close connection between minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and modern Oka theory in complex analysis. The most relevant point for the global approximation results (in particular, Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 5.3) is that the punctured null quadric $\mathfrak{A}^*$ is an *Oka manifold*. (The simplest description of this class of complex manifolds can be found in Lárusson's AMS Notices article [24]. Roughly speaking, maps $M \to X$ from any Stein manifold M (in particular, from any open Riemann surface) to an Oka manifold X satisfy all forms of Oka principle. Recent expository articles on this subject are [16, 17]; a more comprehensive treatment can be found in the monograph [15].) On the other hand, local results which pertain to properties of conformal minimal immersions on compact bordered Riemann surfaces, such

as Theorem 3.1 (the structure theorem) and Theorem 4.1 (the general position theorem), do not require that $\mathfrak{A}^*$ is Oka. This dichotomy has already been pointed out in [3].

The proofs of our main results follow the pattern that has been established in [3]. We exhaust the Riemann surface M by an increasing sequence of compact, smoothly bounded, Runge domains $M_1 \subset M_2 \subset M_3 \subset \cdots$ such that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, M_{j+1} deformation retracts either onto M_j (the so called *noncritical case*), or onto $M_j \cup C_j$ where C_j is a smooth embedded arc in $M \setminus M_j$ attached with endpoints to M_j (the *critical case*). We proceed recursively. Assume inductively that we have already found a conformal minimal immersion (or embedding) $u_j \colon M_j \to \mathbb{R}^n$ on a neighborhood of M_j for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. (The initial set M_1 is chosen to be a small neighborhood of the compact set $K \subset M$ on which we wish to approximate an initially given conformal minimal immersion or embedding.) We embed its derivative ∂u_j into a period dominating holomorphic spray of maps into the punctured null quadric $\mathfrak{A}^*$ (see Lemma 3.2). Since $\mathfrak{A}^*$ is an Oka manifold, we can approximate this spray by a spray defined on a neighborhood of M_{j+1}. (In the noncritical case, this is a direct application of the Oka property. The critical case requires additional work, using generalized conformal minimal immersions on admissible sets, see Definitions 5.1 and 5.2. When extending u_j to the arc C_j, we must ensure the correct value of the integral $\int_{C_j} \Re(\partial u_j)$.) The period domination property ensures that the new spray still contains an element with vanishing real periods on all closed curves in M_{j+1}, and hence it integrates to a conformal minimal immersion $u_{j+1} \colon M_{j+1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$. If $n \geq 5$, we can furthermore arrange that u_{j+1} is an embedding by using a general position argument (see Theorem 4.1), similar to the one obtained in [3, Theorem 2.4] for directed holomorphic immersions $M \to \mathbb{C}^n$. If the approximations are sufficiently close at every step, then the sequence $(u_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to a conformal minimal immersion $u = \lim_{j\to\infty} u_j \to \mathbb{R}^n$ (embedding if $n \geq 5$). The proof of Theorem 1.2 (concerning the existence of *proper* conformal minimal embeddings $M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ for $n \geq 5$) proceeds similarly, but uses a more precise version of Mergelyan's approximation with the control of some of the component functions; see Lemma 5.6.

A few words concerning the organization of the paper. In Section 2, we collect the preliminary material and introduce the relevant definitions. In Section 3, we prove some basic local properties of the space of conformal minimal immersions of bordered Riemann surfaces to $\mathbb{R}^n$; cf. Theorem 3.1. In Section 4, we prove the special case of Theorem 1.1 for bordered Riemann surfaces (cf. Theorem 4.1). In Section 5, we prove a Mergelyan type approximation theorem for conformal minimal immersions of open Riemann surfaces into $\mathbb{R}^n$ for any $n \geq 3$; see Theorem 5.3. By combining all these results, we then prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 6 (cf. Theorem 6.1) and Theorem 1.2 in Section 7 (cf. Theorem 7.1).

2. Notation and preliminaries

Let $n \geq 3$ be a natural number, and let M be an open Riemann surface. An immersion $u = (u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n) : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is *conformal* (angle preserving) if and only if, in any local holomorphic coordinate $z = x + \imath y$ on M, the partial derivatives $u_x = (u_{1,x}, \ldots, u_{n,x}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $u_y = (u_{1,y}, \ldots, u_{n,y}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ have the same Euclidean length and are orthogonal:

$$|u_x| = |u_y| > 0, \qquad u_x \cdot u_y = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Equivalently, $u_x \pm \imath u_y \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ are *null vectors*, i.e., they belong to the *null quadric*

$$\mathfrak{A} = \{z = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \colon z_1^2 + z_2^2 + \cdots + z_n^2 = 0\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Since $\mathfrak{A}$ is defined by a homogeneous quadratic holomorphic equation and is smooth away from the origin, the punctured null quadric $\mathfrak{A}^* = \mathfrak{A} \setminus \{0\} = \mathfrak{A}_{\rm reg}$ is an *Oka manifold* (see Example 4.4 in [3, p. 743]). This means that:

Remark 2.1. Maps $M \to \mathfrak{A}^*$ from any Stein manifold (in particular, from any open Riemann surface) satisfy all forms of the *Oka principle* [15, Theorem 5.4.4].

The exterior derivative on M splits into the sum $d = \partial + \overline{\partial}$ of the $(1,0)$-part ∂ and the $(0,1)$-part $\overline{\partial}$. In any local holomorphic coordinate $z = x + \imath y$ on M we have

$$2\partial u = (u_x - \imath u_y)dz, \quad 2\overline{\partial} u = (u_x + \imath u_y)d\bar{z}. \tag{2.3}$$

Hence (2.1) shows that u is conformal if and only if the differential $\partial u = (\partial u_1, \ldots, \partial u_n)$ satisfies the nullity condition

$$(\partial u_1)^2 + (\partial u_2)^2 + \cdots + (\partial u_n)^2 = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Assume now that M is an open Riemann surface and $u\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a conformal immersion. It is classical (cf. Osserman [31]) that $\Delta u = 2\mu \mathbf{H}$, where $\mathbf{H}\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is the mean curvature vector of u and $\mu > 0$ is a positive function. (In local isothermal coordinates $x + \imath y$ on M we have $\mu = ||u_x||^2 = ||u_y||^2$.) Hence u is minimal ($\mathbf{H} = 0$) if and only if it is harmonic ($\Delta u = 0$). If v is any local harmonic conjugate of u on M, then the Cauchy-Riemann equations imply that

$$\partial(u + \imath v) = 2\partial u = 2\imath\, \partial v.$$

In particular, the differential ∂u of any conformal minimal immersion is a $\mathbb{C}^n$-valued holomorphic 1-form satisfying (2.4).

The *conjugate differential* of a smooth map $u : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by

$$d^c u = \imath(\overline{\partial} u - \partial u) = 2\Im(\partial u).$$

We have that

$$2\partial u = du + \imath d^c u, \quad dd^c u = 2\imath\, \partial\overline{\partial} u = \Delta u \cdot dx \wedge dy.$$

Thus u is harmonic if and only if $d^c u$ is a closed vector valued 1-form on M, and $d^c u = dv$ holds for any local harmonic conjugate v of u.

The *flux map* of a harmonic map $u\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is the group homomorphism $\mathrm{Flux}_u\colon H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ given by

$$\mathrm{Flux}_u([C]) = \int_C d^c u, \qquad [C] \in H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}). \tag{2.5}$$

The integral on the right hand side is independent of the choice of path in a given homology class, and we shall write $\mathrm{Flux}_u(C)$ for $\mathrm{Flux}_u([C])$ in the sequel.

Fix a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 1-form θ on M. (Such exists by the Oka-Grauert principle, cf. Theorem 5.3.1 in [15, p. 190].) It follows from (2.4) that

$$2\partial u = f\theta \tag{2.6}$$

where $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)\colon M \to \mathfrak{A}^*$ is a holomorphic map satisfying

$$\int_C \Re(f\theta) = \int_C du = 0 \quad \text{for any closed curve } C \text{ in } M.$$

Conversely, associated to any holomorphic map $f\colon M \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is the *period homomorphism* $\mathcal{P}(f)\colon H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{C}^n$ defined on any closed curve $C \subset M$ by

$$\mathcal{P}(f)(C) = \int_C f\theta.$$

The map f corresponds to a conformal minimal immersion $u\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ as in (2.6) if and only if $f(M) \subset \mathfrak{A}^*$ and $\Re(\mathcal{P}(f)) = 0$; in this case, $u(x) = \int^x \Re(f\theta)$ $(x \in M)$ and

$$\mathrm{Flux}_u = \Im(\mathcal{P}(f))\colon H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{2.7}$$

In view of Remark 2.1, the above discussion connects the theory of minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^n$ to the theory of Oka manifolds. For the latter, see the expository articles [16, 17] and the monograph [15].

Next, we introduce the mapping spaces that will be used in the paper.

If M is an open Riemann surface, then $\mathscr{O}(M)$ is the algebra of holomorphic functions $M \to \mathbb{C}$, $\mathscr{O}(M,X)$ is the space of holomorphic mappings $M \to X$ to a complex manifold X, and $\mathrm{CMI}(M,\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of conformal minimal immersions $M \to \mathbb{R}^n$. These spaces are endowed with the compact-open topology.

If K is a compact subset of M, then $\mathscr{O}(K)$ denotes the set of all holomorphic functions on open neighborhoods of K in M (in the sense of germs on K). A compact set $K \subset M$ is said to be $\mathscr{O}(M)$*-convex* if K equals its holomorphically convex hull

$$\widehat{K} = \{x \in M\colon |f(x)| \le \sup_K |f| \ \ \forall f \in \mathscr{O}(M)\}.$$

If M is an open Riemann surface, then by Runge's theorem $K = \widehat{K}$ if and only if $M \setminus K$ does not contain any relatively compact connected components, and this holds precisely when every function $f \in \mathscr{O}(K)$ is the uniform limit on K of functions in $\mathscr{O}(M)$; for this reason, such K is also called a *Runge set* in M. (See e.g. [23] for these classical results.)

Assume now that M is a *compact bordered Riemann surface*, i.e., a compact connected Riemann surface with smooth boundary $\emptyset \neq bM \subset M$ and interior $\mathring{M} = M \setminus bM$. Let $g \ge 0$ be the genus of M and $m \ge 1$ the number of its boundary components of M. The first homology group $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is then a free abelian group on $l = 2g + m - 1$ generators whose basis is given by smoothly embedded loops $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_l\colon \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathring{M}$ that only meet at a chosen base point $p \in \mathring{M}$. (Here, $\mathbb{S}^1$ denotes the circle.) Let $C_j = \gamma_j(\mathbb{S}^1) \subset M$ denote the trace of γ_j. The union $C = \bigcup_{j=1}^l C_j$ is then a wedge of l circles with vertex p.

Given $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we denote by $\mathscr{A}^r(M)$ the space of all functions $M \to \mathbb{C}$ of class $\mathscr{C}^r(M)$ that are holomorphic in $\mathring{M}$. More generally, for any complex manifold X we let $\mathscr{A}^r(M,X)$ denote the space of maps $M \to X$ of class $\mathscr{C}^r$ which are holomorphic in $\mathring{M}$. We write $\mathscr{A}^0(M) = \mathscr{A}(M)$ and $\mathscr{A}^0(M,X) = \mathscr{A}(M,X)$. Note that $\mathscr{A}^r(M,\mathbb{C}^n)$ is a complex Banach space, and for any complex manifold X the space $\mathscr{A}^r(M,X)$ is a complex Banach manifold modeled on $\mathscr{A}^r(M,\mathbb{C}^n)$ with $n = \dim X$ (see [14, Theorem 1.1]).

For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $\mathrm{CMI}^r(M,\mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of all conformal minimal immersions $M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of class $\mathscr{C}^r(M)$. More precisely, an immersion $F\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of class $\mathscr{C}^r$ belongs to $\mathrm{CMI}^r(M,\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if ∂F is a $(1,0)$-form of class $\mathscr{C}^{r-1}(M)$ that has range in the punctured null quadric $\mathfrak{A}^*$ (2.2) and is holomorphic in the interior $\mathring{M} = M \setminus \partial M$. We write $\mathrm{CMI}^1(M,\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathrm{CMI}(M,\mathbb{R}^n)$.

A compact bordered Riemann surface M can be considered as a smoothly bounded compact domain in an open Riemann surface R. It is classical that each function in $\mathscr{A}^r(M)$ can be approximated in the $\mathscr{C}^r(M)$ topology by functions in $\mathscr{O}(M)$. The same is true for maps to an arbitrary complex manifold or complex space (see [11, Theorem 5.1]).

The following notions will play an important role in our analysis.

Definition 2.2. Let M be a connected open or bordered Riemann surface, let θ be a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 1-form on M, and let $\mathfrak{A}$ be the null quadric (2.2).

- A holomorphic map $f : M \to \mathfrak{A}^*$ is said to be *nonflat* if the image $f(M)$ is not contained in any complex ray $\mathbb{C}\nu \subset \mathfrak{A}$ of the null quadric. A conformal minimal immersion $u\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is nonflat if the map $f = 2\partial u/\theta : M \to \mathfrak{A}^*$ is nonflat, or equivalently, if the image $u(M)$ is not contained in an affine plane.
- A holomorphic map $f\colon M \to \mathfrak{A}^*$ is *nondegenerate* if the image $f(M) \subset \mathfrak{A}^*$ is not contained in any linear complex hyperplane of $\mathbb{C}^n$. A conformal minimal immersion $u\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is nondegenerate if the map $f = 2\partial u/\theta\colon M \to \mathfrak{A}^*$ is.
- A conformal minimal immersion $u\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is *full* if the image $u(M)$ is not contained in an affine hyperplane.

For a conformal minimal immersion $M \to \mathbb{R}^3$, nonflat, full, and nondegenerate are equivalent notions. However, in dimensions $n > 3$ we have

$$\text{Nondegenerate} \Longrightarrow \text{Full} \Longrightarrow \text{Nonflat}, \tag{2.8}$$

but the converses are not true (see [31]).

If M is an open Riemann surface, we denote by $\mathrm{CMI}_*(M,\mathbb{R}^n)$ (resp. $\mathrm{CMI}_{\mathrm{nf}}(M,\mathbb{R}^n)$ the subset of $\mathrm{CMI}(M,\mathbb{R}^n)$ consisting of all immersions which are nondegenerate (resp. nonflat) on every connected component of M. By (2.8), we have

$$\mathrm{CMI}_*(M,\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathrm{CMI}_{\mathrm{nf}}(M,\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The analogous notation $\mathrm{CMI}^r_*(M,\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathrm{CMI}^r_{\mathrm{nf}}(M,\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathrm{CMI}^r(M,\mathbb{R}^n)$ is used for a compact bordered Riemann surface M and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $\mathrm{CMI}^r_*(M,\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathrm{CMI}^r_{\mathrm{nf}}(M,\mathbb{R}^n)$ are open subsets of $\mathrm{CMI}^r(M,\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Since the tangent space $T_z\mathfrak{A}$ is the kernel at z of the $(1,0)$-form $\sum_{j=1}^n z_j\,dz_j$, we have $T_z\mathfrak{A} = T_w\mathfrak{A}$ for $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if z and w are colinear. This implies

Lemma 2.3. *A holomorphic map $f\colon M \to \mathfrak{A}^*$ is nonflat if and only if the linear span of the tangent spaces $T_{f(x)}\mathfrak{A}$ over all points $x \in M$ equals $\mathbb{C}^n$.*

Remark 2.4. The second condition in Lemma 2.3 was used as the definition of a nondegenerate map in [3, Definition 2.2, p. 736]. This property enables the construction of period dominating sprays of holomorphic maps $M \to \mathfrak{A}^*$ with the given core map f (cf. Lemma 3.2). Here, we revert to the standard terminology used in minimal surface theory as given by Definition 2.2.

3. Conformal minimal immersions of bordered Riemann surfaces

The following result gives some basic local properties of the space of conformal minimal immersions of a bordered Riemann surface to $\mathbb{R}^n$. It is analogous to Theorem 2.3 in [3] where similar properties were proved for certain classes of directed holomorphic immersions; in particular, for null holomorphic immersions $M \to \mathbb{C}^n$ for any $n \geq 3$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a compact bordered Riemann surface with nonempty boundary bM, and let $n \geq 3$ and $r \geq 1$ be integers.*

(a) *Every conformal minimal immersion $u \in \mathrm{CMI}^r(M,\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be approximated in the $\mathscr{C}^r(M)$ topology by nondegenerate conformal minimal immersions $\tilde{u} \in \mathrm{CMI}^r_*(M,\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $\mathrm{Flux}_u = \mathrm{Flux}_{\tilde{u}}$.*

(b) *Each of the spaces $\mathrm{CMI}^r_*(M,\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathrm{CMI}^r_{\mathrm{nf}}(M,\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a real analytic Banach manifold with the natural $\mathscr{C}^r(M)$ topology.*

(c) *If M is a smoothly bounded compact domain in a Riemann surface R, then every $u \in \mathrm{CMI}^r(M,\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be appproximated in the $\mathscr{C}^r(M)$ topology by conformal minimal immersions defined on open neighborhoods of M in R.*

Proof. Fix a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 1-form θ on M. Choose a basis $\{\gamma_j\}_{j=1}^l$ of the homology group $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$ and denote by

$$P = (P_1,\ldots,P_l)\colon \mathscr{A}(M,\mathbb{C}^n) \to (\mathbb{C}^n)^l$$

the period map whose j-th component, applied to $f \in \mathscr{A}(M,\mathbb{C}^n)$, equals

$$P_j(f) = \int_{\gamma_j} f\theta = \int_0^1 f(\gamma_j(t))\,\theta(\gamma_j(t),\dot{\gamma}_j(t))\,dt \in \mathbb{C}^n. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof of (a). For simplicity of notation we assume that $r = 1$; the same proof applies for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $u\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a degenerate conformal minimal immersion. The map $f = 2\partial u/\theta\colon M \to \mathfrak{A}^*$ is continuous on M and holomorphic in $\mathring{M}$, and the linear span of $f(M)$ is a k-dimensional linear complex subspace $\Pi \subset \mathfrak{A}$, $1 \leq k < n$. Fix distinct points $\{p_1,\ldots,p_k,q_1,\ldots,q_{n-k}\} \in M$ such that $\{f(p_1),\ldots,f(p_k)\}$ is a basis of Π. Choose a nonconstant function $h \in \mathscr{A}(M)$ such that $h(p_i) = 0$ for all $i = 1,\ldots,k$, and $h(q_j) = 1$ for all $j = 1,\ldots,n-k$. Choose a holomorphic vector field V on $\mathbb{C}^n$ tangential to $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $\{f(p_1),\ldots,f(p_k),V(f(q_1)),\ldots,V(f(q_{n-k}))\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let $t \mapsto \phi(t,z)$ denote the flow of V for small complex values of time t, with $\phi(0,z) = z$. For any $g \in \mathscr{A}(M)$ near the zero function we define the map $\Phi(g) \in \mathscr{A}(M,\mathfrak{A}^*)$ by

$$\Phi(g)(x) = \phi(g(x)h(x), f(x)), \quad x \in M.$$

Clearly $\Phi(0) = f$. Consider the holomorphic map

$$\mathscr{A}(M) \ni g \longmapsto P(\Phi(g)) \in (\mathbb{C}^n)^l.$$

Since the space $\mathscr{A}(M)$ is infinite dimensional, there is a function $g \in \mathscr{A}(M) \setminus \{0\}$ arbitrarily close to the zero function such that $P(\Phi(g)) = P(\Phi(0)) = P(f)$; in particular, $\Re P(\Phi(g)) = 0$. For such g, the map $\tilde{f} = \Phi(g)\colon M \to \mathfrak{A}^*$ integrates to a conformal minimal immersion $\tilde{u}(x) = u(p) + \int_p^x \Re(\tilde{f}\theta)$ that is close to u and satisfies $\mathrm{Flux}_u = \mathrm{Flux}_{\tilde{u}}$. For a generic choice of points $q_j' \in M$ near q_j, $j = 1,\ldots,n-k$, we have that $g(q_j')h(q_j') \neq 0$ and $\{f(p_1),\ldots,f(p_k),V(f(q_1')),\ldots,V(f(q_{n-k}'))\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Hence, $\{f(p_1),\ldots,f(p_k),\tilde{f}(q_1'),\ldots,\tilde{f}(q_{n-k}')\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$ provided that $g(q_j') \in \mathbb{C}^*$ is close enough to 0 for every $j = 1,\ldots,n-k$. Since $g(p_i)h(p_i) = 0$, we also have that $\tilde{f}(p_i) = f(p_i)$ for $i = 1,\ldots,k$, and $\tilde{f}$ is nondegenerate. This proves part (a).

In the proof of part (b), we shall need the following version of [3, Lemma 5.1].

Lemma 3.2. *Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and let $f \in \mathscr{A}^r(M, \mathfrak{A}^*)$ be a nonflat map. There exist an open neighborhood U of the origin in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{C}^N$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and a map*

$$U \times M \ni (\zeta, x) \longmapsto \Phi_f(\zeta, x) \in \mathfrak{A}^*$$

of class $\mathscr{A}^r(U \times M, \mathfrak{A}^*)$ such that $\Phi_f(0, \cdot\,) = f$ and the period map $U \ni \zeta \mapsto P(\Phi_f(\zeta, \cdot\,)) \in (\mathbb{C}^n)^l$ (3.1) is submersive at $\zeta = 0$. Furthermore, there is a neighborhood V of f in $\mathscr{A}^r(M, \mathfrak{A}^*)$ such that the map $V \ni h \mapsto \Phi_h$ can be chosen holomorphic in h.

The cited result [3, Lemma 5.1] is stated for the case when $P(f) = 0$, $r = 0$, and $f\colon M \to \mathfrak{A}^*$ is nondegenerate as opposed to nonflat, the latter being a weaker condition when $n > 3$; see (2.8). (In fact, [3, Lemma 5.1] applies to more general conical subvarieties of $\mathbb{C}^n$.) However, the proof given there applies in the present situation since it only uses the condition that the linear span of the tangent spaces $T_{f(x)}\mathfrak{A}$ over all $x \in M$ equals $\mathbb{C}^n$. By Lemma 2.3, this holds if and only if the map $f\colon M \to \mathfrak{A}^*$ is nonflat. See also Remark 2.4.

A holomorphic family of maps $\Phi_f(\zeta, \cdot\,)\colon M \to \mathfrak{A}^*$ ($\zeta \in U \subset \mathbb{C}^N$) in Lemma 3.2 is called a *period dominating spray with core $\Phi_f(0, \cdot\,) = f$ and with values in $\mathfrak{A}^*$*. In [3, Proof of Lemma 5.1] it was shown that there is a spray with these properties given by

$$\Phi_f(\zeta, x) = \Phi(\zeta, x, f(x)) \in \mathfrak{A}^*, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\Phi\colon U \times M \times \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$ is a holomorphic map of the form

$$\Phi(\zeta, x, z) = \phi^1_{\zeta_1 g_1(x)} \circ \cdots \circ \phi^N_{\zeta_N g_N(x)}(z) \in \mathfrak{A}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $z \in \mathfrak{A}$, $x \in M$, $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_N) \in U \subset \mathbb{C}^N$, $g_1, \ldots, g_N$ are holomorphic functions on M, and ϕ^j_t is the flow of a holomorphic vector field V_j on $\mathbb{C}^n$ that is tangential to $\mathfrak{A}$.

Proof of (b). By [14, Theorem 1.1], the space $\mathscr{A}^{r-1}(M, \mathfrak{A}^*)$ is a complex Banach manifold modeled on the complex Banach space $\mathscr{A}^{r-1}(M, \mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ (since $\dim \mathfrak{A}^* = n - 1$). Set

$$\mathscr{A}^{r-1}_0(M, \mathfrak{A}^*) = \{f \in \mathscr{A}^{r-1}(M, \mathfrak{A}^*) : \Re(P(f)) = 0\},$$

where $P\colon \mathscr{A}^{r-1}(M, \mathbb{C}^n) \to (\mathbb{C}^n)^l$ is the (holomorphic) period map (3.1). Let $\mathscr{A}^{r-1}_{0,*}(M, \mathfrak{A}^*)$ denote the open subset of $\mathscr{A}^{r-1}_0(M, \mathfrak{A}^*)$ consisting of all nondegenerate maps (see Definition 2.2). Since nondegenerate maps are nonflat, Lemma 3.2 implies that the differential dP_{f_0} of the restricted period map $P\colon \mathscr{A}^{r-1}(M, \mathfrak{A}^*) \to (\mathbb{C}^n)^l$ at any point $f_0 \in \mathscr{A}^{r-1}_{0,*}(M, \mathfrak{A}^*)$ has maximal rank equal to ln. By the implicit function theorem, it follows that f_0 admits an open neighborhood $\Omega \subset \mathscr{A}^{r-1}(M, \mathfrak{A}^*)$ such $\Omega \cap \mathscr{A}^{r-1}_0(M, \mathfrak{A}^*) = \Omega \cap \mathscr{A}^{r-1}_{0,*}(M, \mathfrak{A}^*)$ is a real analytic Banach submanifold of Ω which is parametrized by the kernel of the real part $\Re(dP_{f_0})$ of the differential of P at f_0; this is a real codimension ln subspace of the complex Banach space $\mathscr{A}^{r-1}(M, \mathbb{C}^{n-1})$ (the tangent space of the complex Banach manifold $\mathscr{A}^{r-1}(M, \mathfrak{A}^*)$). This shows that $\mathscr{A}^{r-1}_{0,*}(M, \mathfrak{A}^*)$ is a real analytic Banach manifold. The integration $x \mapsto v + \int_p^x \Re(f\theta)$ ($x \in M$), with an arbitrary choice of the initial value $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ at a chosen base point $p \in M$, provides an isomorphism between the Banach manifold $\mathscr{A}^{r-1}_{0,*}(M, \mathfrak{A}^*) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and the space $\mathrm{CMI}^r_*(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$, so the latter is also a Banach manifold. The same argument applies to the space $\mathrm{CMI}^r_{\mathrm{nf}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ of nonflat maps. This completes the proof of part (b).

Proof of (c). Without loss of generality, we may assume that M is connected. Let $u \in \mathrm{CMI}^r(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$. By part (a) we may assume that u is nondegenerate. Write $2\partial u = f\theta$, where $f\colon M \to \mathfrak{A}^*$ is a nondegenerate holomorphic map. Let Φ_f be a period dominating spray of conformal minimal immersions with the core f, furnished by Lemma 3.2. By [12,

Theorem 1.2], we can approximate f uniformly on M by holomorphic maps $\tilde{f}\colon V \to \mathfrak{A}^*$ defined on an open neighborhood V of M in R. The associated spray $\Phi_{\tilde{f}}$ is then defined and holomorphic on a neighborhood $\widetilde{U} \times \widetilde{V} \subset \mathbb{C}^N \times R$ of $\{0\} \times M$. If $\tilde{f}$ is sufficiently uniformly close to f on M, then the domain and the range of the period map $P(\Phi_{\tilde{f}})$ are so close to those of $P(\Phi_f)$ that the range of $P(\Phi_{\tilde{f}})$ contains the point $P(f) \in \mathbb{C}^{ln}$. (Note that the components of $P(f)$ are purely imaginary since f corresponds to a conformal minimal immersion.) Hence $\tilde{f}$ can be approximated in $\mathscr{C}^{r-1}(M)$ by a holomorphic map $h \in \mathscr{O}(W, \mathfrak{A}^*)$ on a connected open neighborhood $W \subset R$ of M satisfying $P(h) = P(f)$; in particular, $\Re(P(h)) = 0$. The integral $\tilde{u}(x) = u(p) + \int_p^x \Re(h\,\theta)$ is then a conformal minimal immersion in a neighborhood of M in R which approximates u in $\mathscr{C}^r(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$. $\square$

4. Desingularizing conformal minimal immersions

In this section we prove the following general position theorem (a special case of Theorem 1.1) for conformal minimal immersions of bordered Riemann surfaces.

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a compact bordered Riemann surface and let $n \geq 5$ and $r \geq 1$ be integers. Every conformal minimal immersion $u \in \mathrm{CMI}^r(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ can be approximated arbitrarily closely in the $\mathscr{C}^r(M)$ topology by a conformal minimal embedding $\tilde{u} \in \mathrm{CMI}^r(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $\mathrm{Flux}_{\tilde{u}} = \mathrm{Flux}_u$.*

Since the set of embeddings $M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is clearly open in the set of immersions of class $\mathscr{C}^r(M)$ for any $r \geq 1$ and $\mathrm{CMI}^r(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a closed subset of the Banach space $\mathscr{C}^r(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ (hence a Baire space), we immediately get

Corollary 4.2. *Let M be a compact bordered Riemann surface. For every pair of integers $n \geq 5$ and $r \geq 1$ the set of conformal minimal embeddings $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of class $\mathscr{C}^r(M)$ is residual (of the second category) in the Baire space $\mathrm{CMI}^r(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof of Theorem 4.1. In view of Theorem 3.1 (parts (a) and (c)), we may assume that M is a smoothly bounded domain in an open Riemann surface R and u is a nondegenerate (see Definition 2.2) conformal minimal immersion in an open neighborhood of M in R.

We associate to u the *difference map* $\delta u\colon M \times M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by

$$\delta u(x, y) = u(y) - u(x), \qquad x, y \in M.$$

Clearly, u is injective if and only if $(\delta u)^{-1}(0) = D_M := \{(x, x) : x \in M\}$. Since u is an immersion, it is locally injective, and hence there is an open neighborhood $U \subset M \times M$ of the diagonal D_M such that δu does not assume the value $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ on $\overline{U} \setminus D_M$. To prove the theorem, it suffices to find arbitrarily close to u another conformal minimal immersion $\tilde{u}\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ whose difference map $\delta\tilde{u}$ is transverse to the origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ on $M \times M \setminus U$. Since $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M \times M = 4 < n$, this will imply that $\delta\tilde{u}$ does not assume the value zero on $M \times M \setminus U$, so $\tilde{u}(x) \neq \tilde{u}(y)$ if $(x, y) \in M \times M \setminus U$. If $(x, y) \in U \setminus D_M$ then $\tilde{u}(x) \neq \tilde{u}(y)$ provided that $\tilde{u}$ is close enough to u, so $\tilde{u}$ is an embedding.

To find such $\tilde{u}$, we shall construct a neighborhood $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ of the origin in a Euclidean space and a real analytic map $H\colon \Omega \times M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying the following properties:

(a) $H(0, \cdot\,) = u$,
(b) $H(\xi, \cdot\,)\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a conformal minimal immersion of class $\mathscr{C}^r(M)$ for every $\xi \in \Omega$, and

(c) the difference map $\delta H\colon \Omega \times M \times M \to \mathbb{R}^n$, defined by

$$\delta H(\xi, x, y) = H(\xi, y) - H(\xi, x), \qquad \xi \in \Omega,\ \ x, y \in M, \tag{4.1}$$

is a submersive family on $M \times M \setminus U$, in the sense that the partial differential

$$d_\xi|_{\xi=0}\, \delta H(\xi, x, y)\colon \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^n \tag{4.2}$$

is surjective for every $(x, y) \in M \times M \setminus U$.

Assume for a moment that such H exists. By compactness of $M \times M \setminus U$, the partial differential $d_\xi(\delta H)$ (4.2) is surjective for all ξ in a neighborhood $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Hence the map $\delta H\colon M \times M \setminus U \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is transverse to any submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^n$, in particular, to the origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The standard transversality argument due to Abraham [1] (a reduction to Sard's theorem; see also [15, Section 7.8]) shows that for a generic choice of $\xi \in \Omega'$, the difference map $\delta H(\xi, \cdot\,, \cdot\,)$ is transverse to $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ on $M \times M \setminus U$, and hence it omits the value 0 by dimension reasons. By choosing ξ sufficiently close to $0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we thus obtain a conformal minimal embedding $\tilde{u} = H(\xi, \cdot\,)\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ close to u, thereby proving the theorem.

We construct a spray H of conformal minimal immersions, satisfying properties (a)–(c) above, by suitably modifying the proof of the corresponding result for directed holomorphic immersions in [3, Theorem 2.4].

Fix a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 1-form θ on M and write $2\partial u = f\theta$, where $f\colon M \to \mathfrak{A}^*$ is a nondegenerate holomorphic map (see Definition 2.2). The main step in the construction of the spray H is furnished by the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. (Assumptions as above.) *For every $(p, q) \in M \times M \setminus D_M$ there exists a spray $H = H^{(p,q)}(\xi, \cdot\,)\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of conformal minimal immersions of class $\mathscr{C}^r(M)$, depending analytically on the parameter ξ in a neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^n$, satisfying properties (a) and (b) above, but with (c) replaced by the following property:*

(c') *the partial differential $d_\xi|_{\xi=0}\, \delta H(\xi, p, q)\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $\Lambda \subset M$ be a smooth embedded arc connecting p to q. Pick a point $p_0 \in M \setminus \Lambda$ and closed loops $C_1, \ldots, C_l \subset M \setminus \Lambda$ based at p_0 and forming a Runge basis of $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$. Set $C = \bigcup_{j=1}^l C_j$. Let $\gamma_j\colon [0,1] \to C_j$ ($j = 1, \ldots, l$) and $\lambda\colon [0,1] \to \Lambda$ be smooth parametrizations of the respective curves.

Since u is nonflat, Lemma 2.3 and the Cartan extension theorem furnish holomorphic vector fields $V_1, \ldots, V_n$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$, tangential to $\mathfrak{A}$, and points $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \Lambda \setminus \{p, q\}$ such that, setting $z_i = f(x_i) \in \mathfrak{A}^*$, the vectors $V_i(z_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ span $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let $t_i \in (0,1)$ be such that $\lambda(t_i) = x_i$. Let ϕ_t^i denote the flow of V_i. Choose smooth functions $h_i\colon C \cup \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}_+$ ($i = 1, \ldots, n$) that vanish at the endpoints p, q of Λ and on the curves C; their values on Λ will be chosen later. Let $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Consider the map

$$\psi_f(\zeta, x) = \phi^1_{\zeta_1 h_1(x)} \circ \cdots \circ \phi^n_{\zeta_n h_n(x)}(f(x)) \in \mathfrak{A}^*, \quad x \in C \cup \Lambda.$$

Clearly it is holomorphic in the variable $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ near the origin, $\psi_f(0, \cdot\,) = f$, and $\psi_f(\zeta, x) = f(x)$ if $x \in C \cup \{p, q\}$ (since $h_i = 0$ on $C \cup \{p, q\}$). We have that

$$\left.\frac{\partial \psi_f(\zeta, x)}{\partial \zeta_i}\right|_{\zeta=0} = h_i(x)\, V_i(f(x)), \quad x \in C \cup \Lambda, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

By choosing the function h_i to have support concentrated near the point $x_i = \lambda(t_i) \in \Lambda$, we can arrange that for every $i = 1, \ldots, n$ we have that

$$\int_0^1 h_i(\lambda(t))\, V_i(f(\lambda(t)))\, \theta(\lambda(t), \dot\lambda(t))\, dt \approx V_i(z_i)\, \theta(\lambda(t_i), \dot\lambda(t_i)) \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Assuming as we may that the above approximations are close enough, the vectors on the left hand side of the above display form a basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Fix a number $\epsilon > 0$; its precise value will be chosen later. We apply Mergelyan's theorem to find holomorphic functions $g_i \in \mathscr{O}(M)$ such that

$$\sup_{C \cup \Lambda} |g_i - h_i| < \epsilon \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Consider the holomorphic maps

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\zeta, x, z) &= \phi^1_{\zeta_1 g_1(x)} \circ \cdots \circ \phi^n_{\zeta_n g_n(x)}(z) \in \mathfrak{A}, \\ \Psi_f(\zeta, x) &= \Psi(\zeta, x, f(x)) \in \mathfrak{A}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $x \in M$, $z \in \mathfrak{A}$, and ζ is near the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Note that $\Psi_f(0, \cdot\,) = f$. If the approximations of h_i by g_i are close enough, then the vectors

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_i}\bigg|_{\zeta=0} \int_0^1 \Psi_f(\zeta, \lambda(t))\, \theta(\lambda(t), \dot\lambda(t))\, dt = \int_0^1 g_i(\lambda(t))\, V_i(f(\lambda(t)))\, \theta(\lambda(t), \dot\lambda(t))\, dt \tag{4.4}$$

in $\mathbb{C}^n$ are so close to the corresponding vectors $V_i(z_i)\, \theta(\lambda(t_i), \dot\lambda(t_i))$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ that they are $\mathbb{C}$-linearly independent.

The $\mathbb{C}^n$-valued 1-form $\Psi_f(\zeta, \cdot\,)\, \theta$ need not have exact real part, so it may not correspond to the differential of a conformal minimal immersion. We shall now correct this.

From the Taylor expansion of the flow of a vector field we see that

$$\Psi_f(\zeta, x) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i g_i(x) V_i(f(x)) + o(|\zeta|).$$

Since $|g_i| < \epsilon$ on C, the periods over the loops C_j can be estimated by

$$\left| \int_{C_j} \big(\Psi_f(\zeta, \cdot\,) - f\big)\, \theta \right| \le \eta_0 \epsilon |\zeta| \tag{4.5}$$

for some constant $\eta_0 > 0$ and for all sufficiently small $|\zeta|$.

Lemma 3.2 gives holomorphic maps $\Phi(\widetilde\zeta, x, z)$ and $\Phi_f(\widetilde\zeta, x) = \Phi(\widetilde\zeta, x, f(x))$ (see (3.2) and (3.3)), with the parameter $\widetilde\zeta$ near $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{\widetilde N}$ for some $\widetilde N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in M$, such that $\Phi(0, x, z) = z$ and the differential of the associated period map $\widetilde\zeta \mapsto P(\Phi_f(\widetilde\zeta, \cdot\,)) \in \mathbb{C}^{ln}$ (see (3.1)) at the point $\widetilde\zeta = 0$ has maximal rank equal to ln. The same is true if the map $f \in \mathscr{A}(M, \mathfrak{A}^*)$ varies locally near the given initial map. In particular, we can replace f by the spray $\Psi_f(\zeta, \cdot\,)$ and consider the composed map

$$\mathbb{C}^{\widetilde N} \times \mathbb{C}^n \times M \ni (\widetilde\zeta, \zeta, x) \longmapsto \Phi(\widetilde\zeta, x, \Psi_f(\zeta, x)) \in \mathfrak{A}^*$$

which is defined and holomorphic for $(\widetilde\zeta, \zeta)$ near the origin in $\mathbb{C}^{\widetilde N} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ and for $x \in M$. The implicit function theorem furnishes a $\mathbb{C}^{\widetilde N}$-valued holomorphic map $\widetilde\zeta = \rho(\zeta)$ near

$\zeta = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, with $\rho(0) = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^{\widetilde{N}}$, such that the $\mathbb{C}^n$-valued holomorphic 1-form on M given by

$$\Theta_f(\zeta, x, v) = \Phi(\rho(\zeta), x, \Psi_f(\zeta, x))\, \theta(x, v), \quad x \in M,\ v \in T_x M$$

satisfies the conditions

$$\int_{C_j} \Theta_f(\zeta, \cdot\,, \cdot\,) = \int_{C_j} f\theta, \qquad j = 1, \ldots, l$$

for every $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ near the origin. In particular, the real parts of these periods vanish. (The map $\rho = (\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n)$ also depends on f, but we suppressed this dependence in our notation.) It follows that the integral

$$H_u(\zeta, x) = u(p_0) + \int_{p_0}^{x} \Re(\Theta_f(\zeta, \cdot\,, \cdot\,)) = u(p_0) + \int_0^1 \Re(\Theta_f(\zeta, \gamma(t), \dot\gamma(t)))\, dt \tag{4.6}$$

is independent of the choice of the path from the initial point $p_0 \in M$ to the variable point $x \in M$. Clearly H_u is analytic, $H_u(0, \cdot\,) = u$, $H_u(\zeta, \cdot\,)\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a conformal minimal immersion for every $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ sufficiently close to 0, and the flux homomorphism of $H_u(\zeta, \cdot\,)$ equals that of u for every fixed ζ. Furthermore, in view of (4.5) we have the estimate

$$|\rho(\zeta)| \le \eta_1 \epsilon |\zeta| \tag{4.7}$$

for some constant $\eta_1 > 0$ independent of ϵ and ζ.

The map $\Phi(\widetilde{\zeta}, x, z)$, furnished by Lemma 3.2, is obtained by composing flows of certain holomorphic vector fields W_j on $\mathfrak{A}$ for the complex times $\widetilde{\zeta}_j \widetilde{g}_j(x)$, where $\widetilde{g}_j \in \mathscr{O}(M)$ and $\widetilde{\zeta}_j \in \mathbb{C}$. (See (3.3).) The Taylor expansion of the flow, together with the estimate (4.7), gives

$$|\Phi(\rho(\zeta), x, \Psi_f(\zeta, x)) - \Psi_f(\zeta, x)| = \left| \sum \rho_j(\zeta) \widetilde{g}_j(x) W_j(\Psi_f(\zeta, x)) + o(|\zeta|) \right| \le \eta_2 \epsilon |\zeta|$$

for some constant $\eta_2 > 0$ and for all $x \in M$ and all ζ near the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Applying this estimate on the curve Λ with the endpoints p and q we get that

$$\left| \int_0^1 \Theta_f(\zeta, \lambda(t), \dot\lambda(t))\, dt - \int_0^1 \Psi_f(\zeta, \lambda(t))\, \theta(\lambda(t), \dot\lambda(t))\, dt \right| \le \eta_3 \epsilon |\zeta|$$

for some constant $\eta_3 > 0$ independent of ϵ and ζ. If $\epsilon > 0$ is chosen small enough, then it follows that the derivatives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_i}\bigg|_{\zeta=0} \int_0^1 \Theta_f(\zeta, \lambda(t), \dot\lambda(t))\, dt \in \mathbb{C}^n, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

are so close to the respective vectors in (4.4) that they are $\mathbb{C}$-linearly independent. This means that the holomorphic map $\zeta \mapsto \int_0^1 \Theta_f(\zeta, \lambda(t), \dot\lambda(t))\, dt \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is locally biholomorphic near $\zeta = 0$. By (4.6), its real part equals

$$\int_0^1 \Re(\Theta_f(\zeta, \lambda(t), \dot\lambda(t)))\, dt = H_u(\zeta, q) - H_u(\zeta, p) = \delta H_u(\zeta, p, q).$$

After a suitable $\mathbb{C}$-linear change of coordinates $\zeta = \xi + \imath\eta$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$ it follows that the partial differential $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}\big|_{\xi=0} \delta H_u(\xi, p, q)\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is an isomorphism. The spray

$$H^{(p,q)}(\xi, \cdot\,) := H_u(\xi, \cdot\,) \tag{4.8}$$

satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 4.3. □

The spray H_u (4.8), furnished by Lemma 4.3, depends real analytically on $u \in \mathrm{CMI}^r_*(M,\mathbb{R}^n)$ in a neighborhood of a given nondegenerate conformal minimal immersion $u_0 \in \mathrm{CMI}^r_*(M,\mathbb{R}^n)$. In particular, if $u(\eta,\cdot)\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a family of conformal minimal immersions depending analytically on a parameter η, then $H_{u(\eta,\cdot)}(\xi,\cdot)$ depends analytically on (ξ,η). This allows us to compose any finite number of such sprays just as was done in [3]. We recall this operation for two sprays. Suppose that $H = H_u(\xi,\cdot)$ and $G = G_u(\eta,\cdot)$ are sprays with $H_u(0,\cdot) = G_u(0,\cdot) = u$. The composed spray is defined by

$$(H\sharp G)_u(\xi,\eta,x) = G_{H_u(\xi,\cdot)}(\eta,x), \quad x \in M.$$

Clearly we have $(H\sharp G)_u(0,\eta,\cdot) = G_u(\eta,\cdot)$ and $(H\sharp G)_u(\xi,0,\cdot) = H_u(\xi,\cdot)$. The operation $\sharp$ extends by induction to finitely many factors and is associative. (This is similar to the composition of sprays introduced by Gromov [22]; see also [15, p. 246].)

Pick an open neighborhood $U \subset M \times M$ of the diagonal D_M such that $\overline{U} \cap (\delta u)^{-1}(0) = D_M$. Lemma 4.3 furnishes a finite open covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i=1}^m$ of the compact set $M \times M \setminus U$ and sprays of conformal minimal immersions $H^i = H^i(\xi^i,\cdot)\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$, with $H^i(0,\cdot) = u$, where $\xi^i = (\xi^i_1,\ldots,\xi^i_{k_i}) \in \Omega_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{k_i}$, such that the difference map $\delta H^i(\xi^i,p,q)$ is submersive at $\xi^i = 0$ for all $(p,q) \in U_i$. By taking $\xi = (\xi^1,\ldots,\xi^m) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, with $N = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i$, and setting

$$H(\xi,x) = (H^1\sharp H^2\sharp\cdots\sharp H^m)(\xi^1,\ldots,\xi^m,x)$$

we obtain a spray satisfying properties (a) and (b) whose difference map δH is submersive on $M \times M \setminus U$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ sufficiently near the origin. As explained earlier, a generic member $H(\xi,\cdot)$ of this spray (for ξ sufficiently close to $0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$) is a conformal minimal embedding $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. □

5. Mergelyan's theorem for conformal minimal immersions to $\mathbb{R}^n$

In this section, we prove a Mergelyan type approximation theorem for conformal minimal immersions of open Riemann surfaces into $\mathbb{R}^n$ for any $n \geq 3$; see Theorem 5.3. The special case $n = 3$ has been already proved by Alarcón and López [5, Theorem 4.9] by using the *López-Ros transformation* for conformal minimal immersions $M \to \mathbb{R}^3$ (see [25]), a tool that is not available for $n \geq 4$. Here we use the more general approach which has been developed in [3] for approximating holomorphic null curves and more general directed holomorphic immersions of open Riemann surfaces to $\mathbb{C}^n$.

We begin by introducing a suitable type of sets for the Mergelyan approximation. The same type of sets have been used in [3] (see Definition 7.1 there) and in several other papers.

Definition 5.1. A compact subset S of an open Riemann surface M is said to be *admissible* if $S = K \cup \Gamma$, where $K = \bigcup \overline{D}_j$ is a union of finitely many pairwise disjoint, compact, smoothly bounded domains $\overline{D}_j$ in M and $\Gamma = \bigcup \Gamma_i$ is a union of finitely many pairwise disjoint smooth arcs or closed curves that intersect K only in their endpoints (or not at all), and such that their intersections with the boundary bK are transverse.

Note that an admissible set $S \subset M$ is *Runge* in M (i.e., $\mathscr{O}(M)$-convex) if and only if the inclusion map $S \hookrightarrow M$ induces an injective homomorphism $H_1(S;\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$ of the first homology groups. If this holds, then we have the Mergelyan approximation theorem: Every continuous function $f\colon S = K \cup \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}$ that is holomorphic in the interior $\mathring{K}$ of the compact set K can be approximated, uniformly on S, by functions holomorphic on M.

More generally, if f is of class $\mathscr{C}^r$ on S for some $r \geq 0$, then the approximation can be made in the $\mathscr{C}^r(S)$ topology.

Recall that $\mathfrak{A}$ denotes the null quadric (2.2) and $\mathfrak{A}^* = \mathfrak{A} \setminus \{0\}$.

Given an admissible set $S = K \cup \Gamma \subset M$, we denote by $\mathfrak{O}(S, \mathfrak{A}^*)$ the set of all smooth maps $S \to \mathfrak{A}^*$ which are holomorphic on an unspecified open neighborhood of K (depending on the map). In accordance with Definition 2.2, we say that a map $f \in \mathfrak{O}(S, \mathfrak{A}^*)$ is *nonflat* if it maps no component of K and no component of Γ to a ray in $\mathfrak{A}^*$. Likewise, we say that $f \in \mathfrak{O}(S, \mathfrak{A}^*)$ is *nondegenerate* if it maps no component of K and no component of Γ to a complex hyperplane of $\mathbb{C}^n$. We denote by $\mathfrak{O}_*(S, \mathfrak{A}^*)$ the subset of $\mathfrak{O}(S, \mathfrak{A}^*)$ consisting of all nondegenerate maps.

Fix a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 1-form θ on M. The following notion of a generalized conformal minimal immersion emulates the spirit of the concept of *marked immersion* [5]. (The same notion has been used in [4, Definition 6.2].)

Definition 5.2. Let M be an open Riemann surface and let $S = K \cup \Gamma \subset M$ be an admissible subset (see Definition 5.1). A *generalized conformal minimal immersion* on S is a pair $(u, f\theta)$, where $f \in \mathfrak{O}(S, \mathfrak{A}^*)$ and $u\colon S \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a smooth map which is a conformal minimal immersion on an open neighborhood of K, such that the following properties hold:

- $f\theta = 2\partial u$ on an open neighborhood of K in M;
- for any smooth path α in M parametrizing a connected component of Γ we have $\Re(\alpha^*(f\theta)) = \alpha^*(du) = d(u \circ \alpha)$.

A generalized conformal minimal immersion $(u, f\theta)$ is said to be *nonflat* (resp. *nondegenerate*) if the map $f \in \mathfrak{O}(S, \mathfrak{A}^*)$ is nonflat (resp. nondegenerate) on every connected component of K and on every connected component of Γ (see Definition 2.2).

Property (b) shows that a generalized conformal minimal immersion on a curve $C \subset M$ is nothing else than a 1-jet of a conformal immersion along C.

We denote by $\mathrm{GCMI}(S, \mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of all generalized conformal minimal immersions $S \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and by $\mathrm{GCMI}_*(S, \mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathrm{GCMI}(S, \mathbb{R}^n)$ the subset consisting of all nondegenerate ones. We say that $(u, f\theta) \in \mathrm{GCMI}(S)$ *can be approximated in the* $\mathscr{C}^1(S)$ *topology* by conformal minimal immersions in $\mathrm{CMI}(M)$ if there exists a sequence $v_i \in \mathrm{CMI}(M)$ $(i \in \mathbb{N})$ such that $v_i|_S$ converges to $u|_S$ in the $\mathscr{C}^0(S)$ topology and $2\partial v_i|_S$ converges to $f\theta|_S$ in the $\mathscr{C}^0(S)$ topology.

Theorem 5.3 (Mergelyan's theorem for conformal minimal immersions)**.** *Assume that M is an open Riemann surface and that $S = K \cup \Gamma$ is a compact Runge admissible set in M. Then, every generalized conformal minimal immersion $(u, f\theta) \in \mathrm{GCMI}(S, \mathbb{R}^n)$ for $n \geq 3$ can be approximated in the $\mathscr{C}^1(S)$ topology by nondegenerate conformal minimal immersions $\tilde{u} \in \mathrm{CMI}_*(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Furthermore, given a group homomorphism $\mathfrak{p}\colon H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $\mathfrak{p}(C) = \mathrm{Flux}_u(C)$ for every closed curve $C \subset S$, we can choose $\tilde{u}$ as above such that $\mathrm{Flux}_{\tilde{u}} = \mathfrak{p}$.

Proof. Let $\rho : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth strongly subharmonic Morse exhaustion function. We exhaust M by an increasing sequence $M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \cdots \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i = M$ of compact smoothly bounded domains of the form $M_i = \{p \in M\colon \rho(p) \leq c_i\}$, where $c_1 < c_2 < \cdots$ is an increasing sequence of regular values of ρ with $\lim_{i\to\infty} c_i = +\infty$. Each domain M_i is therefore a compact bordered Riemann surface, possibly disconnected. We may assume

that ρ has at most one critical point p_i in each difference $M_{i+1} \setminus M_i$. It then follows that M_i is Runge in M for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally, since S is Runge, we may assume without loss of generality that $S \subset \mathring{M}_1$ and S is a strong deformation retract of M_1, hence the inclusion map $S \hookrightarrow M_1$ induces an isomorphism $H_1(S;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_1(M_1;\mathbb{Z})$ of the homology groups.

We proceed by induction. The basis is given by the following lemma.

Lemma 5.4. *Every $(u, f\theta) \in \mathrm{GCMI}(S,\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $n \geq 3$ can be approximated in the $\mathscr{C}^1(S)$ topology by nondegenerate conformal minimal immersions in $\mathrm{CMI}_*(M_1,\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. Since $S = K \cup \Gamma$ is a strong deformation retract of M_1, we may assume that S is connected; the same argument can be applied on any connected component.

By part (a) of Theorem 3.1, and deforming $(u, f\theta)$ slightly on Γ, we may assume that $(u, f\theta) \in \mathrm{GCMI}_*(S,\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e., it is nondegenerate in the sense of Definition 5.2.

Claim: It is possible to approximate $f \in \mathfrak{O}_*(S,\mathfrak{A}^*)$ as closely as desired uniformly on S by a holomorphic map $f_1 : M_1 \to \mathfrak{A}^*$ such that

$$\int_C (f_1 - f)\theta = 0 \quad \text{for every closed curve } C \subset S. \tag{5.1}$$

Assume for a moment that this holds. Since $H_1(S;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_1(M_1;\mathbb{Z})$ and $f\theta$ has no real periods on S, the same is true for f_1 on M_1 in view of (5.1). Hence, f_1 provides a conformal minimal immersion $u_1 \in \mathrm{CMI}(M_1,\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the expression

$$u_1(p) = u(p_0) + \int_{p_0}^{p} \Re(f_1\theta), \quad p \in M_1,$$

where $p_0 \in K$ is any base point. Furthermore, since S is connected, u_1 can be assumed to be as close as desired to u in the $\mathscr{C}^1(S)$ topology provided that the approximation of f by f_1 is close enough. In particular, since u is nondegenerate, u_1 can be taken in $\mathrm{CMI}_*(M_1,\mathbb{R}^n)$.

This proves Lemma 5.4 provided that the above claim holds.

The construction of a holomorphic map $f_1 \colon M_1 \to \mathfrak{A}^*$ satisfying (5.1) is similar to the proof of the Mergelyan approximation theorem for null holomorphic curves (and other classes of directed holomorphic immersions) in [3, Theorem 7.2]. The main difference is that the period vanishing condition in the latter result is now replaced by the condition of matching the periods of a given map. Here is the outline.

By the assumption, the map f is holomorphic on an open neighborhood $U \subset M$ of K and is smooth on Γ. By part (a) of Theorem 3.1, we may assume that f is nondegenerate. Up to a shrinking of U around K, we can apply [3, Lemma 5.1] to find a period dominating spray of smooth maps $f_w \colon U \cup \Gamma \to \mathfrak{A}^*$ which are holomorphic on U and depend holomorphically on a parameter w in a ball $B \subset \mathbb{C}^N$, with $f_0 = f$. (One deforms f by flows of holomorphic vector fields on $\mathfrak{A}$ which generate the tangent space at every point of $\mathfrak{A}^*$; see (3.2) and (3.3) above. The time variables of these flows are holomorphic functions on a neighborhood of S in M, chosen so as to ensure the period domination property.)

By Mergelyan approximation, we can approximate the map $f = f_0$ uniformly on S by a map $\tilde{f}_0$ which is holomorphic on a small open neighborhood $V \subset M$ of the set S. (Explicitly, we can use [15, Theorem 3.7.2, p. 81], noticing that our set S is a special case of the sets $S = K_0 \cup E$ in the cited theorem.) By applying the same flows to $\tilde{f}_0$, we get a new holomorphic spray of maps $\tilde{f}_w \colon V \to \mathfrak{A}^*$ which approximates the initial spray f_w uniformly

on S, and uniformly with respect to the parameter w. (This part of the construction can be done with an arbitrary complex manifold X in place of $\mathfrak{A}^*$.)

Since $\mathfrak{A}^*$ is an Oka manifold (see Remark 2.1) and S is Runge in M and a deformation retract of M_1, we can apply [15, Theorem 5.4.4, p. 193] (the main result of Oka theory) to approximate the spray $\tilde{f}_w$ uniformly on S (and uniformly with respect to the parameter w) by a holomorphic spray of maps $g_w\colon M_1 \to X$. (The parameter set $B \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ is allowed to shrink a little. The topological condition on the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow M_1$ is used to get the existence of a continuous extension of the spray $\tilde{f}_w$ from S to M_1, a necessary condition to apply the Oka principle.)

If both approximations made above are close enough, then there exists a point $w_0 \in B$ close to the origin such that the map $g_{w_0}\colon M_1 \to \mathfrak{A}^*$ satisfies the period condition (5.1). (The last argument is as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 (c).) Taking this map as our f_1 completes the proof of Lemma 5.4. □

The following result provides the inductive step in the recursive process.

Lemma 5.5. *Assume that $\mathfrak{p}\colon H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a group homomorphism. Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $u_i \in \mathrm{CMI}_*(M_i,\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a nondegenerate conformal minimal immersion such that $\mathrm{Flux}_{u_i}(C) = \mathfrak{p}(C)$ for all closed curve $C \subset M_i$. Then, u_i can be approximated in the $\mathscr{C}^1(M_i)$ topology by nondegenerate conformal minimal immersions $u_{i+1} \in \mathrm{CMI}_*(M_{i+1},\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $\mathrm{Flux}_{u_{i+1}}(C) = \mathfrak{p}(C)$ for all closed curve $C \subset M_{i+1}$.*

Proof. We consider two essentially different cases.

The noncritical case: ρ has no critical value in $[c_i, c_{i+1}]$. In this case, there is no change of topology when passing from M_i to M_{i+1}, and M_i is a strong deformation retract of M_{i+1}. The immersion u_{i+1} can then be constructed as in the proof of Lemma 5.4.

The critical case: ρ has a critical point $p_{i+1} \in M_{i+1} \setminus M_i$. By the assumptions on ρ, p_{i+1} is the only critical point of ρ on $M_{i+1} \setminus M_i$ and is a Morse point. Since ρ is strongly subharmonic, the Morse index of p_{i+1} is either 0 or 1.

If the Morse index of p_{i+1} is 0, then a new (simply connected) component of the sublevel set $\{\rho \le r\}$ appears at p_{i+1} when r passes the value $\rho(p_{i+1})$. In this case, we reduce the proof to the noncritical case by defining u_{i+1} on this new component as any nondegenerate conformal minimal immersion.

Assume now that the Morse index of p_{i+1} is 1. In this case, the change of topology of the sublevel set $\{\rho \le r\}$ at p_{i+1} is described by attaching to M_i a smooth arc $\gamma \subset \mathring{M}_{i+1} \setminus M_i$ such that $M_i \cup \gamma$ is a Runge strong deformation retract of M_{i+1}. We assume without loss of generality that $M_i \cup \gamma$ is admissible (see Definition 5.1). Since u_i is nondegenerate and $\mathrm{Flux}_{u_i}(C) = \mathfrak{p}(C)$ for all closed curve $C \subset M_i$, we may extend u_i to $M_i \cup \gamma$ as a nondegenerate generalized conformal minimal immersion $(\hat{u}_i, \hat{f}_i\theta) \in \mathrm{GCMI}_*(M_i \cup \gamma, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\hat{u}_i = u_i$ on M_i and $\int_C \Im(f_i\theta) = \mathfrak{p}(C)$ for all closed curve $C \subset M_i \cup \gamma$. This can be done as in [4, Lemma 3.4] where the details are given for the case $n = 3$, but the same proof works in general. By Lemma 5.4, we can approximate $(\hat{u}_i, \hat{f}_i\theta)$ in $\mathscr{C}^1(M_i \cup \gamma)$ by a conformal minimal immersion on an open neighborhood of $M_i \cup \gamma$ without changing the flux. This reduces the proof to the noncritical case considered above. □

Combining Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5, we may construct a sequence of nondegenerate conformal minimal immersions $\{u_i \in \mathrm{CMI}_*(M_i)\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that:

- u_i is as close to $(u, f\theta)$ as desired in the $\mathscr{C}^1(S)$ topology for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
- u_i is as close to u_{i-1} as desired in the $\mathscr{C}^1(M_{i-1})$ topology for all $i \geq 2$.
- $\mathrm{Flux}_{u_i}(C) = \mathfrak{p}(C)$ for all closed curve $C \subset M_i$ and all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

If these approximations are close enough, then the limit $\tilde{u} := \lim_{i\to\infty} u_i : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a nondegenerate conformal minimal immersion as close to $(u, f\theta)$ in the $\mathscr{C}^1(S)$ topology as desired and satisfying $\mathrm{Flux}_{\tilde{u}} = \mathfrak{p}$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 5.3. □

The following Mergelyan type result for conformal minimal immersions into $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $n-2$ fixed components was essentially proved in [2]. It will play an important role in the proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 7.

Lemma 5.6. *Assume that M is a compact bordered Riemann surface and K is a union of finitely many pairwise disjoint, smoothly bounded, compact Runge domains in $\mathring{M}$. Assume that K contains a basis of $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$ and naturally identify $H_1(K;\mathbb{Z}) = H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$. Let $u = (u^1, \ldots, u^n) \in \mathrm{CMI}_*(K, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a nondegenerate conformal minimal immersion and assume that u^j extends harmonically to M for all $j \geq 3$. Then u can be approximated in the $\mathscr{C}^1(K)$ topology by nondegenerate conformal minimal immersions $\tilde{u} = (\tilde{u}^1, \ldots, \tilde{u}^n) \in \mathrm{CMI}_*(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\mathrm{Flux}_{\tilde{u}} = \mathrm{Flux}_u$ and $\tilde{u}^j = u^j$ for all $j \geq 3$.*

Proof. Let θ be a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 1-form on M. As usual, we write $f^j = 2\partial u^j/\theta \in \mathscr{O}(K)$ for all j and notice that $f^j \in \mathscr{O}(M)$ for all $j \geq 3$. Denote by Θ the quadratic holomorphic form $-(\sum_{j=3}^n (f^j)^2)\theta^2$ on M.

Let $S = K \cup \Gamma \subset M$ be a Runge connected admissible set (see Definition 5.1) such that Θ does not vanish anywhere on Γ. Choose a nondegenerate generalized conformal minimal immersion $(v, g\theta) \in \mathrm{GCMI}_*(S, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

- $v = u$ and $g = f$ on K,
- $v^j = u^j$ and $g^j = f^j$ on S for all $j \geq 3$, where $v = (v^1, \ldots, v^n)$ and $g = (g^1, \ldots, g^n)$.

(We refer the reader to [2, Proof of Lemma 3.3] for details on how to find such $(v, g\theta)$.) By the latter condition, $(g^1)^2 + (g^2)^2 = -\sum_{j=3}^n (g^j)^2 = \Theta/\theta^2$ on S. Further, since u is nondegenerate, the functions g^1 and g^2 are lineraly independent in $\mathscr{O}(K)$. By [2, Lemma 3.3], (g^1, g^2) can be uniformly approximated on S by a pair $(h^1, h^2) \subset \mathscr{O}(M)^2$ satisfying

- $(h^1)^2 + (h^2)^2 = \Theta/\theta^2$,
- the 1-form $\big((h^1, h^2) - (g^1, g^2)\big)\theta$ is exact on S, and
- the zeros of (h^1, h^2) on M are those of (f^1, f^2) on K (in particular, (h^1, h^2) does not vanish anywhere on $M \setminus K$).

Fix a point $p_0 \in K$ and set $\tilde{u}^j(p) := u^j(p_0) + \Re \int_{p_0}^p h^j\theta$, $p \in M$, $j = 1, 2$, and $\tilde{u}^j := u^j$ for all $j = 3, \ldots, n$. If the approximation of (g^1, g^2) by (h^1, h^2) is close enough on S, then it is clear that $\tilde{u} = (\tilde{u}^1, \ldots, \tilde{u}^n) \in \mathrm{CMI}_*(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies the conclusion of the lemma. □

6. Approximation by conformal minimal embeddings

In this section, we prove the following more precise version of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 6.1. *Let M be an open Riemann surface and let $n \geq 5$ be an integer. Given a conformal minimal immersion $u\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$, a compact Runge set $K \subset M$ and a*

number $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a conformal minimal embedding $\tilde{u}\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\sup_{x\in K} |\tilde{u}(x) - u(x)| < \epsilon$ and $\mathrm{Flux}(\tilde{u}) = \mathrm{Flux}(u)$.

Proof. Exhaust M by an increasing sequence $M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \cdots \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i = M$ of compact, smoothly bounded, Runge domains such that $K \subset M_1$ and each domain M_i is a compact bordered Riemann surface.

We proceed by induction.

Theorem 4.1 furnishes a conformal minimal embedding $u_1 \in \mathrm{CMI}(M_1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ which is as close as desired to u in the $\mathscr{C}^1(M_1)$ topology and satisfies $\mathrm{Flux}_{u_1}(C) = \mathrm{Flux}_u(C)$ for all closed curve $C \subset M_1$. We may further assume by Theorem 3.1 (a) that u_1 is nondegenerate, i.e., $u_1 \in \mathrm{CMI}_*(M_1, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume the existence of a nondegenerate conformal minimal embedding $u_i \in \mathrm{CMI}_*(M_i, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\mathrm{Flux}_{u_i}(C) = \mathrm{Flux}_u(C)$ for all closed curve $C \subset M_i$. Theorem 5.3 ensures that u_i can be approximated in the $\mathscr{C}^1(M_i)$ topology by nondegenerate conformal minimal immersions $u_{i+1} \in \mathrm{CMI}_*(M_{i+1}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\mathrm{Flux}_{u_{i+1}}(C) = \mathrm{Flux}_u(C)$ for all closed curve $C \subset M_{i+1}$. Moreover, in view of Theorem 4.1, this approximation can be done by embeddings.

This process gives a sequence of nondegenerate conformal minimal embeddings $\{u_i \in \mathrm{CMI}_*(M_i, \mathbb{R}^n)\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that

- u_i is as close to u as desired in the $\mathscr{C}^1(K)$ topology for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
- u_i is as close to u_{i-1} as desired in the $\mathscr{C}^1(M_{i-1})$ topology for all $i \geq 2$.
- $\mathrm{Flux}_{u_i}(C) = \mathrm{Flux}_u(C)$ for all closed curve $C \subset M_i$ and all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

If these approximations are close enough, then the limit $\tilde{u} = \lim_{i\to\infty} u_i : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a nondegenerate conformal minimal embedding satisfying the conclusion of the theorem. (See for instance [7, Proof of Theorem 4.5] for a similar argument.) □

7. Construction of proper conformal minimal embeddings

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2 in the following more precise form.

Theorem 7.1. *Let M be an open Riemann surface and let $K \subset M$ be a compact smoothly bounded Runge domain in M. Let $u = (u^1, \ldots, u^n) : K \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a conformal minimal immersion on a neighborhood of K and let $\mathfrak{p} : H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a group homomorphism satisfying $\mathfrak{p}(C) = \mathrm{Flux}_u(C)$ for every closed curve $C \subset K$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a nondegenerate conformal minimal immersion $\tilde{u} = (\tilde{u}^1, \ldots, \tilde{u}^n) : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\sup_{x\in K} |\tilde{u}(x) - u(x)| < \epsilon$, $(\tilde{u}^1, \tilde{u}^2) : M \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is proper, and $\mathrm{Flux}_{\tilde{u}} = \mathfrak{p}$. Furthermore, if $n \geq 5$, the approximating immersions $\tilde{u} : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ can be taken to be embeddings.*

Theorem 7.1 was already proved for $n = 3$ by Alarcón and López in [5]. Their proof uses the Weierstrass representation of conformal minimal immersions $M \to \mathbb{R}^3$ and hence it does not generalize to the case $n > 3$.

Proof. Let $\rho : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth strongly subharmonic Morse exhaustion function and exhaust M by an increasing sequence $M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \cdots \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i = M$ of compact smoothly bounded Runge domains of the form $M_i = \{p \in M\colon \rho(p) \leq c_i\}$, where $c_1 < c_2 < \cdots$ is an increasing sequence of regular values of ρ with $\lim_{i\to\infty} c_i = +\infty$. Each domain M_i is a compact bordered Riemann surface, possibly disconnected. Assume

that ρ has at most one critical point p_i in each difference $M_{i+1} \setminus M_i$. Since K is Runge in M, we may also assume that $M_1 = K$.

Since K is compact, we may assume up to a translation that $\max\{u^1, u^2\} > 1$ on bK. Further, by Theorem 3.1 (a) we may assume that u is nondegenerate (see Definition 2.2).

We proceed by induction. The initial immersion is $u_1 = u \in \mathrm{CMI}_*(M_1, \mathbb{R}^n)$. The inductive step is furnished be the following lemma.

Lemma 7.2. *Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $u_i = (u_i^1, \ldots, u_i^n) \in \mathrm{CMI}_*(M_i, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a nondegenerate conformal minimal immersion such that*

(I) $\mathrm{Flux}_{u_i}(C) = \mathfrak{p}(C)$ *for all closed curve $C \subset M_i$ and*
(II) $\max\{u_i^1, u_i^2\} > i$ *on bM_i.*

Then u_i can be approximated in the $\mathscr{C}^1(M_i)$ topology by nondegenerate conformal minimal immersions $u_{i+1} = (u_{i+1}^1, \ldots, u_{i+1}^n) \in \mathrm{CMI}_*(M_{i+1}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

(i) $\mathrm{Flux}_{u_{i+1}}(C) = \mathfrak{p}(C)$ *for all closed curve $C \subset M_{i+1}$,*
(ii) $\max\{u_{i+1}^1, u_{i+1}^2\} > i$ *on $M_{i+1} \setminus \mathring{M}_i$, and*
(iii) $\max\{u_{i+1}^1, u_{i+1}^2\} > i+1$ *on bM_{i+1}.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 (c) we may assume that u_i extends as a conformal minimal immersion to an unspecified open neighborhood of M_i.

We consider two essentially different cases.

The noncritical case: Assume that ρ has no critical value in $[c_i, c_{i+1}]$.

In this case there is no change of topology when passing from M_i to M_{i+1} and M_i is a strong deformation retract of M_{i+1}. Denote by $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the number of boundary components of bM_i. It follows that $M_{i+1} \setminus \mathring{M}_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^m A_j$ where the sets A_j, $j = 1, \ldots, m$, are pairwise disjoint smoothly bounded compact annuli. For each $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ write $bA_j = \alpha_j \cup \beta_j$ with $\alpha_j \subset bM_i$ and $\beta_j \subset bM_{i+1}$. In view of condition (II) in the statement of the lemma, there exists an integer $l \geq 3$ such that each α_j splits into l compact subarcs $\alpha_{j,k}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_l = \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$, satisfying the following conditions:

(a1) $\alpha_{j,k}$ and $\alpha_{j,k+1}$ intersect at a common endpoint $p_{j,k}$ and $\alpha_{j,k} \cap \alpha_{j,a} = \emptyset$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}_l \setminus \{k-1, k, k+1\}$, for all $(j,k) \in I := \{1, \ldots, m\} \times \mathbb{Z}_l$.
(a2) $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_l} \alpha_{j,k} = \alpha_j$ for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$.
(a3) There exist subsets I_1, I_2 of I such that $I = I_1 \cup I_2$, $I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset$, and $u_i^\sigma > i$ on $\alpha_{j,k}$ for all $(j,k) \in I_\sigma$, $\sigma = 1, 2$.

For every $j = 1, \ldots, m$ let $\{\gamma_{j,k} \subset A_j \colon (j,k) \in I\}$ be a family of pairwise disjoint smooth Jordan arcs such that $\gamma_{j,k}$ connects $p_{j,k} \in \alpha_j$ with a point $q_{j,k} \in \beta_j$ and is otherwise disjoint with bA_j, . We may assume in addition that the set

$$S = M_i \cup \bigcup_{(j,k) \in I} \gamma_{j,k}$$

is admissible in the sense of Definition 5.1. Recall that M_i is Runge in M, and hence $S \subset M$ is Runge as well. Let θ be a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 1-form on M. Extend $(u_i, 2\partial u_i)$ to S as a nondegenerate generalized conformal minimal immersion $(u_i, f\theta) \in \mathrm{GCMI}_*(S, \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying that:

- $u_i^\sigma > i$ on $\gamma_{j,k} \cup \alpha_{j,k} \cup \gamma_{j,k-1}$ for all $(j,k) \in I_\sigma$, $\sigma = 1,2$.
- $u_i^\sigma > i+1$ on $\{q_{j,k}, q_{j,k-1}\}$ for all $(j,k) \in I_\sigma$, $\sigma = 1,2$.

The existence of such extension is trivially ensured by property (a3). Theorem 5.3 then provides $v = (v^1, \dots, v^n) \in \mathrm{CMI}_*(M_{i+1}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ enjoying the following properties:

(b1) v is as close as desired to u_i in the $\mathscr{C}^1(M_i)$ topology.
(b2) $v^\sigma > i$ on $\gamma_{j,k} \cup \alpha_{j,k} \cup \gamma_{j,k-1}$ for all $(j,k) \in I_\sigma$, $\sigma = 1,2$.
(b3) $v^\sigma > i+1$ on $\{q_{j,k}, q_{j,k-1}\}$ for all $(j,k) \in I_\sigma$, $\sigma = 1,2$.
(b4) $\mathrm{Flux}_v(C) = \mathrm{Flux}_{u_i}(C)$ for any closed curve $C \subset M_i$.

Denote by $\beta_{j,k}$ the subarc of β_j connecting $q_{j,k-1}$ and $q_{j,k}$ and containing $q_{j,a}$ for no $a \in \mathbb{Z}_l \setminus \{k-1, k\}$, for all $(j,k) \in I$. Denote by $\Omega_{j,k} \subset A_j$ the closed disc bounded by $\gamma_{j,k-1}$, $\alpha_{j,k}$, $\gamma_{j,k}$, and $\beta_{j,k}$, $(j,k) \in I$. By (b2), (b3) and the continuity of v there exist compact, smoothly bounded discs $D_{j,k} \subset \Omega_{j,k} \setminus (\gamma_{j,k-1} \cup \alpha_{j,k} \cup \gamma_{j,k})$, $(j,k) \in I$, such that $D_{j,k} \cap \beta_{j,k} \neq \emptyset$ is a subarc of $\beta_{j,k} \setminus \{q_{j,k-1}, q_{j,k}\}$ and the following conditions hold:

(b2') $v^\sigma > i$ on $\overline{\Omega_{j,k} \setminus D_{j,k}}$ for all $(j,k) \in I_\sigma$, $\sigma = 1,2$.
(b3') $v^\sigma > i+1$ on $\overline{\beta_{j,k} \setminus D_{j,k}}$ for all $(j,k) \in I_\sigma$, $\sigma = 1,2$.

Assume that $I_1 \neq \emptyset$, otherwise $I_2 \neq \emptyset$ and we would reason in a symmetric way.

Consider the compact smoothly bounded Runge domain

$$S_1 = M_1 \cup \Big(\bigcup_{(j,k) \in I_2} \Omega_{j,k} \Big) \cup \Big(\bigcup_{(j,k) \in I_1} D_{j,k} \Big).$$

Observe that S_1 is not connected; its components are $M_1 \cup \bigcup_{(j,k) \in I_2} \Omega_{j,k}$ and $D_{j,k}$, $(j,k) \in I_1$. Since $D_{j,k}$ is compact, there exists a constant $\tau_1 > 0$ such that

$$\tau_1 + v^2 > i+1 \quad \text{on} \bigcup_{(j,k) \in I_1} D_{j,k}, \tag{7.1}$$

recall that $v = (v^1, v^2, \dots, v^n)$.

Denote by $\hat{v}_1 = (\hat{v}_1^1, \hat{v}_1^2, \dots, \hat{v}_1^n) \in \mathrm{CMI}_*(S_1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ the conformal minimal immersion given by

$$\hat{v}_1 = v \quad \text{on } M_1 \cup \bigcup_{(j,k) \in I_2} \Omega_{j,k}, \tag{7.2}$$

$$\hat{v}_1 = (v^1, \tau_1 + v^2, \dots, v^n) \quad \text{on} \bigcup_{(j,k) \in I_1} D_{j,k}. \tag{7.3}$$

Observe that every component of $\hat{v}_1$ equals the restriction to S_1 of the corresponding component of v, except for $\hat{v}_1^2$. By Lemma 5.6 we may approximate $\hat{v}_1$ in the $\mathscr{C}^1(S_1)$ topology by a nondegenerate conformal minimal immersion $v_1 = (v_1^1, v_1^2, \dots, v_1^n) \in \mathrm{CMI}_*(M_{i+1}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying the following properties:

(c1) v_1 is as close as desired to u_i in the $\mathscr{C}^1(M_i)$ topology.
(c2) $v_1^1 = v^1$ on M_{i+1}.
(c3) $v_1^a > i$ on $\bigcup_{(j,k) \in I_a} \overline{\Omega_{j,k} \setminus D_{j,k}}$, $a = 1,2$. Take into account (b2'), (7.2), and (c2).
(c4) $v_1^a > i+1$ on $\bigcup_{(j,k) \in I_a} \overline{\beta_{j,k} \setminus D_{j,k}}$, $a = 1,2$. See (b3'), (7.2), and (c2).
(c5) $v_1^2 > i+1$ on $\bigcup_{(j,k) \in I_1} D_{j,k}$. Take into account (7.3) and (7.1).
(c6) $\mathrm{Flux}_{v_1}(C) = \mathrm{Flux}_{u_i}(C)$ for any closed curve $C \subset M_i$. See (b4).

Assume that $I_2 \neq \emptyset$; otherwise the immersion $u_{i+1} = v_1$ satisfies the conclusion of the lemma and we are done. Indeed, if $I_2 = \emptyset$, then $I_1 = I$ and we have

$$M_{i+1} \setminus M_i \subset \big(\bigcup_{(j,k)\in I_1} \overline{\Omega_{j,k} \setminus D_{j,k}} \big) \cup \big(\bigcup_{(j,k)\in I_1} D_{j,k} \big)$$

and

$$bM_{i+1} \subset \big(\bigcup_{(j,k)\in I_1} \overline{\beta_{j,k} \setminus D_{j,k}} \big) \cup \big(\bigcup_{(j,k)\in I_1} D_{j,k} \big).$$

Therefore, properties (c3) and (c5) above imply Lemma 7.2 (ii), whereas (c4) and (c5) ensure (iii). Finally (c6), Lemma 7.2 (I), and the fact that M_i is a strong deformation retract of M_{i+1} give (iii). This and (c1) would conclude the proof.

Consider the compact Runge, smoothly bounded domain

$$S_2 = M_2 \cup \Big(\bigcup_{(j,k)\in I_1} \Omega_{j,k} \Big) \cup \Big(\bigcup_{(j,k)\in I_2} D_{j,k} \Big).$$

Since $I_2 \neq \emptyset$, S_2 is not connected. Pick a constant $\tau_2 > 0$ such that

$$\tau_2 + v_1^1 > i+1 \quad \text{on} \bigcup_{(j,k)\in I_2} D_{j,k}. \tag{7.4}$$

Define $\hat{v}_2 = (\hat{v}_2^1, \hat{v}_2^2, \ldots, \hat{v}_2^n) \in \mathrm{CMI}_*(S_1, \mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\hat{v}_2 = v_1 \quad \text{on } M_1 \cup \bigcup_{(j,k)\in I_1} \Omega_{j,k}, \tag{7.5}$$

$$\hat{v}_2 = (\tau_2 + v_1^1, v_1^2, \ldots, v_1^n) \quad \text{on} \bigcup_{(j,k)\in I_2} D_{j,k}. \tag{7.6}$$

Now every component of $\hat{v}_2$ equals the restriction to S_2 of the corresponding component of v_1, except for $\hat{v}_2^1$. By Lemma 5.6 we may approximate $\hat{v}_2$ in the $\mathscr{C}^1(S_2)$ topology by an immersion $v_2 = (v_2^1, v_2^2, \ldots, v_2^n) \in \mathrm{CMI}_*(M_{i+1}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that:

(d1) v_2 is as close as desired to u_i in the $\mathscr{C}^1(M_i)$ topology.
(d2) $v_2^2 = v_1^2$ on M_{i+1}.
(d3) $v_2^a > i$ on $\bigcup_{(j,k)\in I_a} \overline{\Omega_{j,k} \setminus D_{j,k}}$, $a = 1, 2$. Take into account (c3), (7.5), and (d2).
(d4) $v_2^a > i+1$ on $\bigcup_{(j,k)\in I_a} \overline{\beta_{j,k} \setminus D_{j,k}}$, $a = 1, 2$. See (c4), (7.5), and (d2).
(d5) $v_2^a > i+1$ on $\bigcup_{(j,k)\in I\setminus I_a} D_{j,k}$. Take into account (c5), (7.5), (7.6), and (7.4).
(d6) $\mathrm{Flux}_{v_1}(C) = \mathrm{Flux}_{u_i}(C)$ for any closed curve $C \subset M_i$. See (c6).

Set $u_{i+1} = v_2 \in \mathrm{CMI}_*(M_{i+1}, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Since obviously

$$M_{i+1} \setminus M_i \subset \big(\bigcup_{(j,k)\in I_1} \overline{\Omega_{j,k} \setminus D_{j,k}} \big) \cup \big(\bigcup_{(j,k)\in I_1} D_{j,k} \big)$$

and

$$bM_{i+1} \subset \big(\bigcup_{(j,k)\in I_1} \overline{\beta_{j,k} \setminus D_{j,k}} \big) \cup \big(\bigcup_{(j,k)\in I_1} D_{j,k} \big),$$

(d3) and (d5) ensure condition (ii) in the lemma, (d4) and (d5) give (iii), and (d6), Lemma 7.2 (I), and the fact that M_i is a strong deformation retract of M_{i+1} imply (i). Taking into account (d1), this concludes the proof of the lemma in the noncritical case.

The critical case: Assume that ρ has a critical point $p_{i+1} \in M_{i+1} \setminus M_i$.

By the assumptions on ρ, p_{i+1} is the only critical point of ρ on $M_{i+1} \setminus M_i$ and it is a Morse point of Morse index either 0 or 1.

Assume first that the Morse index of p_{i+1} is 0. In this case a new (simply connected) component of the sublevel set $\{\rho \leq r\}$ appears at p_{i+1} when r passes the value $\rho(p_{i+1})$. We then reduce the proof of the lemma to the noncritical case by defining $u_{i+1} = (u_{i+1}^1, \ldots, u_{i+1}^n)$ on this new component, D, as any nondegenerate conformal minimal immersion with $\max\{u_{i+1}^1, u_{i+1}^2\} > i+1$ on D.

Assume now that the Morse index of p_{i+1} is 1. In this case the change of topology of the sublevel set $\{\rho \leq r\}$ at p_{i+1} is described by attaching to M_i a smooth arc $\gamma \subset \mathring{M}_{i+1} \setminus M_i$, and hence $M_i \cup \gamma$ is a Runge strong deformation retract of M_{i+1}. We assume without loss of generality that $M_i \cup \gamma$ is admissible in the sense of Definition 5.1. In view of Lemma 7.2 (I) and (II), we may extend u_i to $M_i \cup \gamma$ as a nondegenerate generalized conformal minimal immersion $(\hat{u}_i = (\hat{u}_i^1, \ldots, \hat{u}_i^n), \hat{f}_i\theta) \in \mathrm{GCMI}_*(M_i \cup \gamma, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\hat{u}_i = u_i$ on M_i, $\int_C \Im(f_i\theta) = \mathfrak{p}(C)$ for all closed curve $C \subset M_i \cup \gamma$, and $\max\{\hat{u}_i^1, \hat{u}_i^2\} > i$ on γ. By Theorem 5.3 we find a Runge compact, smoothly bounded domain $\widetilde{M}_i$ and a nondegenerate conformal minimal immersion $v = (v^1, \ldots, v^n) \in \mathrm{CMI}_*(\widetilde{M}_i, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

- $M_i \cup \gamma \subset \mathring{\widetilde{M}}_i$ and $\widetilde{M}_i$ is a strong deformation retract of M_{i+1},
- $\mathrm{Flux}_v(C) = \mathfrak{p}(C)$ for every closed curve $C \subset \widetilde{M}_i$, and
- $\max\{v^1, v^2\} > i$ on $\widetilde{M}_i \setminus \mathring{M}_i$.

This reduces the proof to the noncritical case and proves the lemma. □

By recursively applying Lemma 7.2 we may construct a sequence of nondegenerate conformal minimal immersions $\{u_i \in \mathrm{CMI}_*(M_i, \mathbb{R}^n)\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that:

(a) u_i is as close to u as desired in the $\mathscr{C}^1(K)$ topology for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
(b) u_i is as close to u_{i-1} as desired in the $\mathscr{C}^1(M_{i-1})$ topology for all $i \geq 2$.
(c) $\mathrm{Flux}_{u_i}(C) = \mathfrak{p}(C)$ for all closed curve $C \subset M_i$ and all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
(d) $\max\{u_{i+1}^1, u_{i+1}^2\} > i$ on $M_{i+1} \setminus M_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
(e) $\max\{u_{i+1}^1, u_{i+1}^2\} > i+1$ on bM_{i+1} for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Furthermore, if $n \geq 5$, applying Theorem 4.1 at each step in the recursive construction we may assmue that

(f) u_i is an embedding for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

If the approximations in (a) and (b) are close enough, then the limit $\tilde{u} = (\tilde{u}_1, \ldots, \tilde{u}_n) := \lim_{i\to\infty} u_i : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a nondegenerate conformal minimal immersion satisfying the conclusion of the theorem. Indeed, (c) trivially implies that $\mathrm{Flux}_{\tilde{u}} = \mathfrak{p}$, whereas properties (d) and (e) ensure that $\max\{\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2\} : M \to \mathbb{R}$ and hence $(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2) : M \to \mathbb{R}^2$ are proper maps. Finally, if $n \geq 5$, $\tilde{u}$ can be taken an embedding; take into account (f) and see for instance the proof of Theorem 4.5 in [7] for a similar argument.

This concludes the proof of Theorem 7.1. □

Acknowledgements. A. Alarcón is supported by the Ramón y Cajal program of the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness. A. Alarcón and F. J. López are partially supported by the MINECO/FEDER grants MTM2011-22547 and MTM2014-52368-P, Spain. F. Forstnerič is partially supported by the research program P1-0291 and

the grant J1-5432 from ARRS, Republic of Slovenia. Part of this work was made when F. Forstnerič visited the institute IEMath-Granada with support by the GENIL-SSV 2014 program.

We wish to thank an anonymous referee for the remarks which lead to improved presentation.

References

[1] Abraham, R.: Transversality in manifolds of mappings. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1963) 470–474
[2] Alarcón, A.; Fernández, I.; López, F.J.: Harmonic mappings and conformal minimal immersions of Riemann surfaces into $\mathbb{R}^N$. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **47** (2013) 227–242
[3] Alarcón, A.; Forstnerič, F.: Null curves and directed immersions of open Riemann surfaces. Invent. Math. **196** (2014) 733–771
[4] A. Alarcón; F. Forstnerič: Every conformal minimal surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is isotopic to the real part of a holomorphic null curve. J. reine angew. Math., in press. `arxiv.org/abs/1408.5315`
[5] Alarcón, A.; López, F.J.: Minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ properly projecting into $\mathbb{R}^2$. J. Differential Geom. **90** (2012) 351–382
[6] Alarcón, A.; López, F.J.: Properness of associated minimal surfaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014) 5139–5154
[7] Alarcón, A.; López, F.J.: Proper holomorphic embeddings of Riemann surfaces with arbitrary topology into $\mathbb{C}^2$. J. Geom. Anal. **23** (2013) 1794–1805
[8] Bell, S. R., Narasimhan, R.: Proper holomorphic mappings of complex spaces. In: Several complex variables, VI. Encyclopaedia Math. Sci. **69**, 1–38, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1990)
[9] Bishop E.: Mappings of partially analytic spaces. Amer. J. Math. **83** (1961) 209–242
[10] Černe, M., Forstnerič, F.: Embedding some bordered Riemann surfaces in the affine plane. Math. Res. Lett., **9** (2002) 683–696
[11] Drinovec Drnovšek, B.; Forstnerič, F.: Holomorphic curves in complex spaces. Duke Math. J. **139** (2007) 203–254
[12] B. Drinovec Drnovšek; F. Forstnerič: Approximation of holomorphic mappings on strongly pseudoconvex domains. Forum Math. **20** (2008) 817–840
[13] Eliashberg, Y.; Gromov, M.: Embeddings of Stein manifolds of dimension n into the affine space of dimension $3n/2 + 1$. Ann. of Math. (2) **136** (1992) 123–135
[14] Forstnerič, F.: Manifolds of holomorphic mappings from strongly pseudoconvex domains. Asian J. Math. **11** (2007) 113-126
[15] Forstnerič, F.: Stein Manifolds and Holomorphic Mappings (The Homotopy Principle in Complex Analysis). Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, 56. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg (2011)
[16] Forstnerič, F.: Oka manifolds: from Oka to Stein and back. With an appendix by Finnur Lárusson. Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **22** (2013) 747–809
[17] F. Forstnerič; F. Lárusson: Survey of Oka theory. New York J. Math. **17a** (2011) 1–28
[18] F. Forstnerič; E.F. Wold: Bordered Riemann surfaces in $\mathbb{C}^2$. J. Math. Pures Appl. **91** (2009) 100–114
[19] F. Forstnerič; E.F. Wold: Embeddings of infinitely connected planar domains into $\mathbb{C}^2$. Anal. PDE **6** (2013) 499–514
[20] Garsia, A. M.: An imbedding of closed Riemann surfaces in Euclidean space. Comment. Math. Helv. **35** (1961) 93–110
[21] Greene, R. E.; Wu, H.: Embedding of open Riemannian manifolds by harmonic functions. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **25** (1975) 215–235
[22] Gromov, M.: Oka's principle for holomorphic sections of elliptic bundles. J. Amer. Math. Soc.,**2** (1989) 851–897
[23] Hörmander, L.: An Introduction to Complex Analysis in Several Variables. Third edn. North-Holland Mathematical Library, 7. North Holland, Amsterdam (1990)
[24] Lárusson, F.: What is... an Oka manifold? Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **57** (2010) 50–52
[25] López, F.J.; Ros, A.: On embedded complete minimal surfaces of genus zero. J. Diff. Geom. **33** (1991) 293–300
[26] Meeks III, W.H.; Pérez, J.: A survey on classical minimal surface theory. University Lecture Series, 60. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2012)

[27] Meeks III, W.H.; Pérez, J.: The classical theory of minimal surfaces. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **48** (2011) 325–407
[28] Narasimhan, R.: Imbedding of open Riemann surfaces. Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen Math.-Phys. Kl. II **1960** (1960) 159–165
[29] Narasimhan, R.: Imbedding of holomorphically complete complex spaces. Amer. J. Math. **82** (1960) 917–934
[30] Nash, J.: The imbedding problem for Riemannian manifolds. Ann. Math. (2) **63** (1956) 20–63
[31] Osserman, R.: A survey of minimal surfaces. Second ed. Dover Publications, Inc., New York (1986)
[32] Remmert R.: Sur les espaces analytiques holomorphiquement séparables et holomorphiquement convexes. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **243** (1956) 118–121
[33] Rüedy, R.A.: Embeddings of open Riemann surfaces. Comment. Math. Helv. **46** (1971) 214–225
[34] Schürmann, J.: Embeddings of Stein spaces into affine spaces of minimal dimension. Math. Ann. **307** (1997) 381–399
[35] Whitney, H.: Collected papers. Edited and with a preface by James Eells and Domingo Toledo. Contemporary Mathematicians. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA (1992)

Antonio Alarcón

Departamento de Geometría y Topología e Instituto de Matemáticas (IEMath-GR), Universidad de Granada, E–18071 Granada, Spain.

e-mail: alarcon@ugr.es

Franc Forstnerič

Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana, and Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics, Jadranska 19, SI–1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia.

e-mail: franc.forstneric@fmf.uni-lj.si

Francisco J. López

Departamento de Geometría y Topología, Universidad de Granada, E–18071 Granada, Spain.

e-mail: fjlopez@ugr.es